

## OVERVIEW

- The Natural Earth projection
- The problems and goals
- Analytical equations for the

Robinson projection

- Used numerical methods
- Derivation of the equation
- Results (forward and inverse projection)
- Conclusion


## THE NATURAL EARTH PROJECTION (1)

- Developed by Tom Patterson in 2007
- Graphical design in Flex Projector
- Amalgam of the Kavraiskiy VII and

Robinson projections


## THE NATURAL EARTH PROJECTION (2)

- True pseudo-cylindrical projection
- Distinguishing characteristic: rounded corners
- Neither conformal nor equal area
- It exaggerates the size of high latitude areas



## PROBLEMS OF THE PROJECTION (1)

- Defined by two tabular parameters for each five degrees of the latitude:
- The length of the parallels - $I \varphi$
- The distance of the parallels from the equator - $d \varphi$



## PROBLEMS OF THE PROJECTION (2)

- Projection has no analytical equation
- Intermediate points are define with piecewise cubic spline interpolation
- Implementation requires a lot of effort
- Only implement in Flex Projector

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X=R \cdot s \cdot l_{\varphi} \cdot \lambda, & l_{\varphi} \in[0,1] \\
Y=R \cdot s \cdot d_{\varphi} \cdot k \cdot \pi, & d_{\varphi} \in[0,1]
\end{array}
$$

| Latitude | Length of <br> Parallels | Distance of <br> Parallels from <br> Equator |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 5 | 0.9988 | 0.062 |
| 10 | 0.9953 | 0.124 |
| 15 | 0.9894 | 0.186 |
| 20 | 0.9811 | 0.248 |
| 25 | 0.9703 | 0.31 |
| 30 | 0.957 | 0.372 |
| 35 | 0.9409 | 0.434 |
| 40 | 0.9222 | 0.4958 |
| 45 | 0.9006 | 0.5571 |
| 50 | 0.8763 | 0.6176 |
| 55 | 0.8492 | 0.6769 |
| 60 | 0.8196 | 0.7346 |
| 65 | 0.7874 | 0.7903 |
| 70 | 0.7525 | 0.8435 |
| 75 | 0.716 | 0.8936 |
| 80 | 0.6754 | 0.9394 |
| 85 | 0.627 | 0.9761 |
| 90 | 0.563 | 1 |
| Height/ width | 0.52 |  |
| Scale |  | 0.8707 |

## PROBLEMS OF THE PROJECTION (3)

- Rounded corners $\rightarrow$
slight edge at the end of pole lines
- 5 degree spacing between latitude is not enough to completely smooth corners
- Wish by designer Tom Patterson



## TWO GOALS OF THE THESIS

- An analytical expression
- relating the spherical and Cartesian coordinates
- small number of parameters
- easy implementation
- inverse function
- Improvement of the rounded corners where the border meridians meet the pole line


## ANALYTICAL EQUATIONS FOR THE ROBINSON PROJECTION (1)

- Same graphical approach, same problems not having normal analytical equations
- Two approaches for modeling the projection: approximation and interpolation



## ANALYTICAL EQUATIONS FOR THE ROBINSON PROJECTION (2)

- Two approximations:
- Canters and Decleir - 1989:
(2 polynomial equations, 6 parameters)
$X=R \cdot \lambda \cdot\left(A_{0}+A_{2} \cdot \varphi^{2}+A_{4} \cdot \varphi^{4}\right)$
$Y=R \cdot\left(A_{1} \cdot \varphi+A_{3} \cdot \varphi^{3}+A_{5} \cdot \varphi^{5}\right)$
- Beineke - 1991, 1995:
(1 polynomial and 1 exponential equation, 8 parameters)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=\left(d+e \cdot \varphi^{2}+f \cdot \varphi^{4}+g \cdot \varphi^{6}\right) \frac{\lambda}{\pi} \\
& Y=a \cdot \varphi+b \cdot s \cdot|\varphi|^{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Exponential equation is slower to evaluate than a polynomial


## USED NUMERICAL METHODS

- For approximation:
- Least squares adjustment (LSA)

$$
\boldsymbol{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{v}
$$

- LSA with additional constraints

$$
A \cdot x=l+v
$$

$$
C \cdot x=g
$$

- One tabular parameter value $=$ one equation
- For inverse projection: Newton's method
- From initial guess computes improved approximated roots (iterative procedure)


## DERIVATION OF THE EQUATION

- Derivation contains six separated phases
- Approximation with the polynomials
- Two criteria:
- Number of polynomial terms and multiplications to evaluate are minimized
- Minimizing the absolute difference between the original and approximated projection
- Patterson's graphical evaluation


## Derivation of the polynomials

- Three characteristics of projection graticule were taken into account:

- Projection is symmetric about the $x$ and $y$-axis
- It has straight but not equally spaced parallels
- The parallels are equally divided by meridians
- For accelerated the computations, terms with small contribution were removed


## The order of the polynomials

- Low degree - dissimilar curve to original one
- Higher degree better (increased order has curve closer to original projection $-12^{\text {th }}$ degree is chosen)
- Maximal residuals and reference variance (LSA) are used for evaluation different degrees.

——The Natural Earth projection ------- Polynomial of degree $4 \quad-\cdots$ - Polynomial of degree 12


## Adding constraints in the LSA

- Approximation $\rightarrow$ not the same size of graticule
- Width and height should be preserved
- Two additional constraints are applied:
- The length of the parallels $/ \varphi$ at 0 degrees stays 1
- The distance between the equator and the pole line ( $d \varphi=90^{\circ}$ ) stays 1
- LSA with additional constraints


## Improving the rounded corners (1)

- Two additional measures are required:
- Fixing the slope for the function of Y coordinate to 7 degrees at poles
(graphically provides smooth corners, no edges)
- Reducing the pole line from 0.563 to 0.550 (hides small undesired bulges caused by slope)
- Slope is applied as additional constraint
- Reducing the pole line is done before computing the polynomial coefficients


## Improving the rounded corners (2)

- Result of improvement:



## RESULTS (1)

- Improved Natural Earth projection with equations
- Forward polynomial expressions:
$X=R \cdot \lambda \cdot\left(A_{1}+A_{2} \varphi^{2}+A_{3} \varphi^{4}+A_{4} \varphi^{10}+A_{5} \varphi^{12}\right)$
$Y=R \cdot\left(B_{1} \varphi+B_{2} \varphi^{3}+B_{3} \varphi^{7}+B_{4} \varphi^{9}+B_{5} \varphi^{11}\right)$
where:
$X$ and $Y$ are the projected coordinates,
$\varphi$ and $\lambda$ are the latitude and longitude in radians,
$R$ is the radius of the generating globe,
$A_{1}$ to $A_{5}$ and $B_{1}$ to $B_{5}$ are coefficients given in table below

| Coefficients for X function |  | Coefficients for Y function |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $A_{1}$ | 0.870700 | $B_{1}$ | 1.007226 |
| $A_{2}$ | -0.131979 | $B_{2}$ | 0.015085 |
| $A_{3}$ | -0.013791 | $B_{3}$ | -0.044475 |
| $A_{4}$ | 0.003971 | $B_{4}$ | 0.028874 |
| $A_{5}$ | -0.001529 | $B_{5}$ | -0.005916 |

## RESULTS (2)

- Inverse projection (four steps)
(1) The initial guess for the unknown latitude: $\varphi_{0}=Y \cdot R^{-1}$
(2) With the Newton's root finding algorithm improved latitude $\varphi$ is calculated:

$$
\varphi_{n+1}=\varphi_{n}-F\left(\varphi_{n}\right) \cdot\left(F^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)\right)^{-1}
$$

where:
$F\left(\varphi_{n}\right)=B_{1} \varphi_{n}+B_{2} \varphi_{n}{ }^{3}+B_{3} \varphi_{n}{ }^{7}+B_{4} \varphi_{n}{ }^{9}+B_{5} \varphi_{n}{ }^{11}-Y \cdot R^{-1}=0$,
$F^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ its derivative and $n=0,1,2, \ldots, m$.
At step $m$ the iteration stops if $\left|\varphi_{m+1}-\varphi_{m}\right|<\varepsilon$,
where $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small positive quantity,
typically close to the maximum precision of floating point arithmetic.
(3) The final latitude: $\varphi=\varphi_{m+1}$
(4) The final longitude:
$\lambda=X \cdot R^{-1} \cdot\left(A_{1}+A_{2} \varphi^{2}+A_{3} \varphi^{4}+A_{4} \varphi^{10}+A_{5} \varphi^{12}\right)^{-1}$

## COMPARISON OF BOTH GRATICULES

- Some deviations are present at corners (caused by smoothing the edges)
- The pole line is reduced by small amount
- The lengths of equator and central meridian are not changed
- Graticule at a scale of 1 : 5.000.000: pole line is reduced for almost 45 mm , other point has less than 2.5 mm of deviations
- Distortion values are almost identical


## CONCLUSION (1)

- Polynomial equation for the projection:
- Contains only 10 parameters,
- Has the inverse projection,
- Improves the graticule, (rounded corners are smooth completely)
- Easy to compute and implement
- Both goals are reached
- Patterson recommends this polynomial equation as true analytical expression for the Natural Earth projection


## CONCLUSION (2)

- Global Mapper v12.02 in Flex Projector v0.118

- Natural Scene Designer, Geographic Imager, PROJ.4, MAPublisher, GeoCart, ArcGIS,...
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